

REMARKS

The indication of allowability of claims 1-30 in the Office Action is appreciated.

By the above amendment, Applicant has amended claims 1, 28 and 29 to correct informalities. Claims 28 and 29 have also been amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112. Accordingly, it is believed that all claims remaining in the file now claim subject matter that has been indicated to be allowable.

In the above noted Office Action, Claims 28 and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being unpatentable because use of "said seat component" made the claim indefinite as there were no proper antecedent basis for such term in the claims. Accordingly, Applicant has removed the term from the claims.

By way of the present amendment, this application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Such action in due course is earnestly solicited and would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,



Michel Lauziere